

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

V V
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,775	01/04/2001	Andrew J. Katrinecz JR.	P-01701-US0	3178
:	7590 12/23/2002			
Erik R. Nordstrom FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, L.L.P. Ste. 1900			EXAMINER	
			HUSAR, STEPHEN F	
600 Congress Austin, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2875	-

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assissa Commence	09/755,775	KATRINECZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen F. Husar	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Periodrior Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S	September 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/755,775

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's proposed new figures of drawings and amendment to the specification to incorporate those drawings have been approved. However, the drawings have been deemed informal as noted in the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Drawing Review.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SCHEINBERG (6,030,086) in view of HOWELL (5,899,553). SCHEINBERG shows in Fig.1 an illuminated mouse "28" substantially as claimed with an optically transmissive cover "10", a light source "34" and a switch "40". SCHEINBERG does not disclose that the light source is a flexible luminescent lamp. HOWELL discloses using a thin flexible electroluminescent material as a light source under an optically transmissive cover in a hand held control device such as SCHEINBERG'S mouse for providing low level illumination which outlasts incandescent-type illumination sources. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at the time the invention was made to substitute the thin flexible electroluminescent light source of HOWELL for the light bulb in SCHEINBERG'S illuminated mouse for the purpose of providing a long lasting source of illumination.

Application/Control Number: 09/755,775

Art Unit: 2875

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 28 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. RIFKIN (5,692956) shows an illuminated computer mouse using a light emitting diode and fiber optics to illuminate parts of the mouse.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Husar whose telephone number is 703-308-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/755,775

Art Unit: 2875

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Stephen F. Husar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875

SFH December 18, 2002

> ¥ =

> > 東京 なが 事